# POLYNOMIAL MAPS WITH CONSTANT JACOBIAN

# BY MICHAEL RAZAR

#### ABSTRACT

It has been long conjectured that if n polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in n variables have a (non-zero) constant Jacobian determinant then every polynomial can be expressed as a polynomial in  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . In this paper, various extra assumptions (particularly when n = 2) are shown to imply the conclusion. These conditions are discussed algebraically and geometrically.

### 1. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and n an integer greater than or equal to two. Let  $\varphi$  be a polynomial map from k " to k ". That is,  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$\varphi:(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\mapsto (f_1(x_1,\cdots,x_n),\cdots,f_n(x_1,\cdots,x_n))$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  are polynomials in n variables over k. Denote the Jacobian of this map by  $J(\varphi)$  or  $J(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . If  $\varphi$  is invertible, then its inverse is also a polynomial map and thus  $J(\varphi)$  must be a non-zero constant. After suitable normalization, one can assume that  $J(\varphi) = 1$ .

The converse question is more difficult. That is, given a polynomial map  $\varphi: k^n \to k^n$  such that  $J(\varphi) = 1$ , can one conclude that  $\varphi$  is invertible? In terms of the coordinate functions, this amounts to asking whether the polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate the full polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  over k. In other words, is the k-endomorphism of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  which takes  $X_i$  to  $f_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  an automorphism? The question of whether  $J(\varphi) = 1$  implies that  $\varphi$  is invertible will be referred to as the Jacobian problem.

In modern terminology, the nonvanishing (or, more precisely, the invertibility) of the Jacobian is equivalent to the map  $\varphi$  being étale. Usually one studies étale coverings — étale maps which are also finite (or proper). These are analogous to covering maps in topology. In particular, the fact that  $k^n$  is simply connected (in various topologies) guarantees that the only finite étale maps from  $k^n$  to  $k^n$  are the automorphisms. Thus an affirmative answer to the Jacobian problem requires that all étale endomorphisms of  $k^n$  be finite. There are a number of ways this type of question might admit of generalization but as far as I can determine, very little work has been done in such directions. Perhaps it is only the special nature of  $k^n$  which makes such study attractive.

Another problem which is related to the Jacobian problem is that of determining the structure of the automorphism group of the polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . This has been studied recently by Abhyankar and Moh ([1], [2], [3]) and by Nagata [6]. In the case n = 2, the structure has been known for some time and goes back to Jung [5] and Van der Kulk [7]. For larger values of n, it remains an open question.

This paper deals with some conditions which, together with the fact that  $J(\varphi) = 1$ , guarantee that  $\varphi$  is an automorphism. In Section 2, the basic technique is given. Namely, one translates the question into one about a polynomial ring in one variable over a suitable field. In Section 3, this method is applied to prove that the assumption that  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a Galois extension of  $k(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a sufficient additional assumption. This fact has also been proved with other techniques by Abhyankar and Heinzer (unpublished) and by Campbell [4]. In Section 4, some conditions are given which guarantee that an algebra over a field be a polynomial ring in one variable over the field. To apply the results of Section 4 to the Jacobian problem, one needs a technical assumption concerning the irreducibility of certain polynomials. This assumption is used to prove Theorem 3, which is the main result of this paper. Theorem 3 includes the statement that if f + c = 0 is an irreducible curve of genus 0 for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and if J(f,g) = 1, then k[f,g] = k[x,y]. Finally, in Section 5 there is some brief further discussion of conditions which one might hope would guarantee that certain rings are polynomial rings in one variable over a field. In light of Theorem 3, one wishes to show that if J(f,g) = 1 then the genus of the curves f + c = 0 must be 0. Proposition 9 (in Section 5) states that the genus of f cannot be equal to 1.

All rings in this article are integral domains of characteristic zero and are assumed to be contained in some universal domain. This is a technical convenience so that, for example, if A is a subring of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , and the quotient field of A is K, then  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is well-defined as the subring of

 $K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  consisting of rational functions with denominators from A. If R is a ring, then  $R^*$  denotes the group of units of R. If R is a ring and A is a subring, then an A-derivation of R is a derivation  $D: R \to R$  such that Da = 0 for all  $a \in A$ .

2.

PROPOSITION 1. Let A be an integral domain which contains the field Q of rational numbers. Let K be the quotient field of A and let R be a subring of an extension field of K such that  $R \cap K = A$ . If there exist elements  $t, u \in R$  and an A-derivation  $D: R \to R$  such that  $R \subset K[u]$  and Dt = 1, then R = A[t].

PROOF. Clearly u is transcendental over K. Now note that if  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in R$  and  $xy \in A$ , then  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A$ . For it, say,

$$a_1x = F_1(u)$$
 and  $a_2y = F_2(u)$ 

with  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $F_1(u), F_2(u) \in A[u]$ , then  $F_1(u)F_2(u) = a_1a_2xy \in A$ . Thus  $F_1(u), F_2(u) \in A$ , whence  $x, y \in K \cap R = A$ .

Next choose  $a \in A$  such that  $at = F(u) \in A[u]$ . Then a = aDt = F'(u)Du. It follows that  $Du \in A$  and  $F'(u) \in A$ . Therefore F(u) is linear and so

$$at = bu + c$$
  $(b, c \in A)$ .

Since a = bDu,  $ab^{-1} = Du \in A$  and  $cb^{-1} = ab^{-1}t - u \in R \cap K = A$ . Thus  $u = ab^{-1}t - cb^{-1} \in A[t]$  and hence  $R \subset K[t]$ .

Finally, choose any  $x \in R$  and find an element  $a \in A$  such that

$$ax = b_0t^n + b_1t^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n \qquad (b_i \in A).$$

Then  $aD^nx = n!$   $b_0$  and thus  $a \mid b_0$ . It follows inductively that  $a \mid b_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and thus  $x \in A[t]$ .

LEMMA 1. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let  $\varphi: k^n \to k^n$  be an open dominating morphism in the Zariski topology. Then all irreducible components of  $k^n - \text{Im}(\varphi)$  have dimension less than or equal to n-2.

PROOF. Let  $\varphi((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ . If the closed set  $k^n - \operatorname{Im} \varphi$  has a component of dimension n - 1, then there is a polynomial H in n variables over k such that the polynomial  $H \circ \varphi = H(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  never vanishes on  $k^n$ . This implies that  $H \circ \varphi$  is constant and hence that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are algebraically dependent over k. But this contradicts the fact that  $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi)$  is dense in  $k^n$ .

COROLLARY. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1,

$$k(f_1, \dots, f_n) \cap k[X_1, \dots, X_n] = k[f_1, \dots, f_n].$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that if  $P, Q \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and if P and Q are irreducible and relatively prime, then

$$O \circ \varphi / P \circ \varphi = Q(f_1, \dots, f_n) / P(f_1, \dots, f_n) \not\in k[X_1, \dots, X_n].$$

Suppose on the contrary that  $Q \circ \varphi / P \circ \varphi \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and let

$$X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n / P(x_1, \dots x_n) = 0\}$$

and

$$Y = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n / Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}.$$

Then  $X \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi \subset Y \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi$  and therefore,  $X \cap Y \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi = X \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi$ . Since  $\dim X = n-1$ , Lemma 1 implies  $X \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi \neq \emptyset$  and so  $\dim(X \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi) = n-1$ . But, since P and Q are relatively prime,  $\dim(X \cap Y) \leq n-2$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $Q \circ \varphi / P \circ \varphi \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

THEOREM 1. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial ring in n variables over k. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and let  $\varphi : k^n \to k^n$  be the map they define. Suppose  $J(\varphi) = 1$ . Let  $K = k(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$  and suppose there is a polynomial  $h(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subset K[h]$ . Then  $k[f_1, \dots, f_n] = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , or, equivalently,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

PROOF. The map  $\varphi$  is étale and hence open. By the Corollary to Lemma 1,

$$k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \cap K(f_n) = k[f_1, \dots, f_n].$$

Thus  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \cap K = k[f_1, \dots, f_n] \cap K = k[f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}]$ . Define a derivation D of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by

$$Dg = J(f_1, \cdots, f_{n-1}, g).$$

Then *D* is plainly a *K*-derivation and  $Df_n = 1$  Now apply Proposition 1 with  $A = k[f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}], R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n], u = h \text{ and } t = f_n \text{ to get the conclusion.}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Any morphism of schemes which is flat and locally of finite type is open.

3. One application of Theorem 1 is the following result.

THEOREM 2. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial ring in n variables over k. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be polynomials such that  $J(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 1$ . Suppose that the field  $k(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a finite Galois extension of  $k(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Then  $k[f_1, \dots, f_n] = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

Some simple facts about derivations and Galois extension will be used in the proof of Theorem 2. These are summarized in the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let R and S be integrally closed integral domains such that  $S \subset R$ . Suppose that the quotient field E of R is a finite Galois extension of the quotient field F of S and that  $R \cap F = S$ . Let D be a derivation of E such that  $D(F) \subset F$  and  $D(R) \subset R$ . If  $R_0$  is the integral closure of S in E, then  $D(R_0) \subset R_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(E/F)$ . Note that if  $\sigma \in G$ , then  $\sigma D \sigma^{-1}$  is a derivation of E which coincides with D on F. Thus  $\sigma D \sigma^{-1} = D$  and also  $\sigma R_0 = R_0$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . Hence  $D(R_0) \subset R_0$ .

LEMMA 3. Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and let t be transcendental over K. Let L be a finite extension of K(t) and R a subring of L which contains K[t] and is finitely generated as a K[t]-module. Suppose that the derivation d/dt extends to a derivation D of L such that  $D(R) \subset R$ . Then R = K[t].

PROOF. Since K has characteristic 0, it is harmless to suppose that K is a subfield of the complex numbers. Let  $x \in R$ . Since R is finite over K[t], x must satisfy a linear differential equation

$$D^{n}x + a_{1}(t)D^{n-1}x + \cdots + a_{n}(t)x = 0$$

where  $a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t) \in K[t]$ . Thus, regarding x as a function of t, x must be both entire and algebraic. Thus x is a polynomial in t.

PROPOSITION 2. Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and let t be transcendental over K. Let L be a finite Galois extension of K(t) and let R be an integrally closed subring of L such that R has quotient field L and  $R \cap K(t) = K[t]$ . If there is a K-derivation D:  $R \rightarrow R$  such that Dt = 1, then R = K[t].

PROOF. By Lemma 2, it can be assumed that R is equal to the integral closure of K[t] in L. Since K[t] is Noetherian and integrally closed and L is a separable extension of its quotient field, R is finite as a K[t]-module. Now by Lemma 3, R = K[t].

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. To prove Theorem 2, let  $K = k(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$ ,  $t = f_n$ ,  $L = k(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ;  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , and let D be the derivation of L defined by  $Dg = J(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g)$ . By Proposition 3, R = K[t]. Now apply Theorem 1 with h = t to get  $k[f_1, \dots, f_n] = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

4. In trying to apply Theorem 1, one is led to the question of when a ring R containing a field K is a polynomial ring in one variable over K. There are obviously many necessary conditions which can be imposed on R and part of the problem is to determine which combinations of them provide a sufficient condition. After a bit of study it becomes apparent that the question also depends significantly on properties of the field K. In applications to the Jacobian problem, K is a pure transcendental extension of an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero (see Theorem 1). It turns out that the question is much easier if K is algebraically closed and this fact will be discussed in Section 6. Regrettably, I know of no way to use the assumption that K is purely transcendental over an algebraically closed field to any advantage. Therefore, in the present section, all results will be valid for an arbitrary field K of characteristic zero. It will be assumed, however, that the quotient field of R has transcendence degree one over K and that R is finitely generated as a K-algebra.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that  $R^* = K^*$  and that there is a K-derivation D of R and an element  $t \in R$  such that Dt = 1. If x is an element of R such that K[t, x] is integrally closed (in its quotient field), then  $x \in K[t]$ .

PROOF. Let X be an indeterminate over K[t]. Then K[t, x] is a quotient of K[t, X] by a prime ideal. Since K(t, x) has transcendence degree one over K, the prime ideal must have height one and hence be principal. Thus there is an irreducible polynomial F(t, X) with coefficients in K such that

$$K[t,x]=K[t,X]/(F(t,X)).$$

Since  $R^* = K^*$ , K is relatively algebraically closed in R. Thus F(t, X) is absolutely irreducible (i.e. it remains irreducible over all algebraic extension of K). The fact that K[t, x] is integrally closed now implies that the affine curve F(t, X) = 0 is nonsingular. Thus the partial derivatives  $F_t(t, x)$  and  $F_X(t, x)$  generate the unit ideal in K[t, x].

Applying D to the equation F(t, x) = 0 gives

$$F_t(t,x) + F_X(t,x)Dx = 0.$$

Thus, the ideal generated by  $F_t(t, x)$  and  $F_X(t, x)$  is generated by  $F_X(t, x)$  alone. It follows that  $F_X(t, x)$  is a unit of R and hence  $F_X(t, x) \in K^*$ . But F(t, x) = 0 is the lowest degree equation satisfied by x over K(t). Thus  $F_X(t, X) \in K^*$ , whence F(t, X) is linear in X. Since F(t, x) = 0,  $x \in K(t) \cap R$  and, since  $R^* = K^*$ , this forces x to be in K[t].

LEMMA 4. If R is integrally closed,  $R^* = K^*$ , and the quotient field L of R has genus zero over K, then R is integral over every subring which properly contains K.

PROOF. Suppose there are two valuation rings  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of L which contain K but do not contain R. Since the genus of L over K is zero, the Riemann-Roch theorem guarantees the existence of an element  $u \in L$ ,  $u \not\in K$ , whose divisor involves only  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . In particular, both u and  $u^{-1}$  belong to all valuation rings of L over K other than  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Hence u is a unit of R, or,  $u \in K^*$ . But this is a contradiction. It follows that there is precisely one valuation ring V of L over K which does not contain R.

Now let S be a subring of R which contains K. The integral closure S' of S in R has quotient field L and is integrally closed (since R is). Thus, either S' = R or  $S' = R \cap V = K$ . The latter case is impossible unless S = K, and in the former case, R is integral over S.

PROPOSITION 4. Let R be integrally closed,  $R^* = K^*$ , and suppose there is a K-derivation D of R and an element t of R such that Dt = 1. If the quotient field L of R has genus zero over K, then R = K[t].

PROOF. By Lemma 4, R is integral over K[t] and then, by Lemma 3, R = K[t].

The condition  $R^* = K^*$  which appears in Propositions 3 and 4 can easily be interpreted for the particular K and R occurring in Theorem 1. Namely, if  $K = k(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$  and  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subset k(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , then  $R^* = K^*$  if and only if for every irreducible polynomial P in n-1 variables over k,  $P(f_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, f_{n-1}(X_1, \dots, X_n))$  is irreducible in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . In the case n = 2, this condition is rephrased in the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. Let k[X, Y] be a polynomial ring in two variables over an algebraically closed field k and let  $f(X, Y) \in k[X, Y]$ . If K = k(f) and R = K[X, Y], then  $R^* = K^*$  if and only if f(X, Y) - c is irreducible for all  $c \in k$ .

Propositions 3 and 4 can now be used to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let

- f(x, y) and g(x, y) be polynomials over k such that  $f_xg_y f_yg_x = 1$ . If there is an element  $a \in k$  such that f(x, y) + ag(x, y) + b is irreducible for all  $b \in k$ , and
  - (a) if there is a polynomial h(x, y) such that k[f, g, h] = k[x, y] or
- (b) if the linear system of curves f(x, y) + ag(x, y) + b = 0 has genus 0 (for all  $b \in k$ )

then k[f,g] = k[x,y].

PROOF. Let K = k(f + ag) and R = K[x, y]. By Lemma 5,  $R^* = K^*$ . Let D be the derivation of R, defined by  $D\phi = J(f + ag, \phi)$ . Then Dg = 1.

- (a) If k[f, g, h] = k[x, y], then R = K[g, h]. By Proposition 3, since R is integrally closed,  $h \in K[g]$  and thus R = K[g]. Now, by Theorem 1, k[f, g] = k[x, y].
- (b) By hypothesis, if  $\beta$  is transcendental over k, the equation  $f(x, y) + ag(x, y) + \beta = 0$  defines an irreducible curve of genus zero over  $k(\beta)$ . The affine coordinate ring  $k(\beta)[x, y]/(f(x, y) + ag(x, y) + \beta)$  of this curve is isomorphic to R = K[x, y]. Hence the quotient field of R has genus zero over K. By Proposition 4, R = K[g] and, by Theorem 1, k[f, g] = k[x, y].
- 5. The results proved in Section 4 contain conditions which guarantee that a ring is a polynomial ring over a field. In this section some other such conditions will be discussed. The basic notation is as follows:

K is a field; L is an extension field of K of transcendence degree one over K; R is an integrally closed ring such that  $K \subset R \subset L$  and its quotient field is L.

If R is to be a polynomial ring over K, the following two conditions must certainly be satisfied.

- (I)  $R^* = K^*$ .
- (II) R is a principal ideal domain.

These two conditions are far from sufficient. For example, let k be an algebraically closed field and let k[X, Y] be a polynomial ring in two variables over k. Let f(X, Y) be a polynomial such that f(x, y) - c is irreducible for all  $c \in k$ . Let K = k(f), L = k(x, y) and R = K[x, y]. Then (I) and (II) are satisfied but R need not be a polynomial ring over K. Indeed R cannot be a polynomial ring over K if the curves f(x, y) - c = 0 have positive genus.

A third necessary condition which arises in connection with the Jacobian problem is the following:

(III) There is an element  $t \in R$  and a K-derivation D of R such that Dt = 1.

I do not know whether the three conditions taken together are enough to guarantee that R = K[t]. If they are, then of course the Jacobian problem has an

affirmative solution, at least in the two variable case. A more geometric formulation of these conditions sheds some light on the difficulties involved.

Let X be a complete non-singular curve which is defined over K and let S be a finite set of points of X (over the algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$  of K) such that every point of X which is conjugate over K to a point of S, is also in S. The conditions (I), (II) and (III) become

- (I') There is no K-rational function on X whose divisor is supported on S. (There is no K-rational function all of whose zeros and poles are in S.)
- (II') Given any K-rational divisor D on X whose support |D| is disjoint from S, there is a K-rational function  $f_D$  on X whose divisor differs from D by a divisor with support contained in S.
- (III') There is a K-rational function t on X such that the divisor of the differential dt is supported on S.

Condition (I') can be absorbed into (II') by adding the stipulation that  $f_D$  be unique (up to a multiplicative constant). If (I') and (II') hold, there is a unique differential  $\omega$  whose divisor is supported on S. Then (III') is simply the requirement that  $\omega$  be the differential of a function.

Still another way to get (I') and (II') is to require that the group  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_t$  of K-rational divisors on X modulo linear equivalence be a finitely generated free abelian group. The prime divisors supported on S provide a free set of generators. For many fields K the Mordell-Weil theorem guarantees that this group is finitely generated. In these cases one need only check that the group is torsion-free. This is precisely the situation which occurs when K is finitely generated (as a field) over an algebraically closed field. On the other hand one has the following easy result.

PROPOSITION 7. If K is algebraically closed, then conditions (I), (II) and (III) imply that R = K[t].

PROOF. If the genus of X is positive, then  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_t$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z} \oplus J_X(K)$  where  $J_X(K)$  is the group of K-rational points on the Jacobian variety of X. But if K is algebraically closed (or anywhere near it) then  $J_X(K)$  is neither finitely generated nor torsion-free. Hence X must have genus zero. Now Proposition 4 guarantees that R = K[t].

PROPOSITION 8. If (I') and (III') are satisfied then the genus of X is not one.

PROOF. There is a unique K-rational differential dt whose divisor is supported on S. But if the genus of X is one, there is a differential whose divisor is zero. Since dt cannot have divisor zero, the genus cannot be one.

An immediate corollary of Proposition 8 is the following:

PROPOSITION 9. If f(x, y) and g(x, y) are polynomials such that  $f_x g_y - f_y g_x = 1$ , then the system of curves f(x, y) + ag(x, y) + b = 0 cannot have genus one.

## REFERENCES

- 1. S.S. Abhyankar and T. Moh, Puiseaux expansion and generalized Tschirnhausen transformation I, J. Reine Angew. Math. 260 (1973), 47-83.
- 2. S.S. Abhyankar and T. Moh, Puiseaux expansion and generalized Tschirnhausen transformation II, J. Reine Angew. Math. 261 (1973) 29-54.
- 3. S.S. Abhyankar and T. Moh, Embeddings of the line in the plane, J. Reine Angew. Math. 276 (1975), 148-166.
- 4. L.A. Campbell, A condition for a polynomial map to be invertible, Math. Ann. 205 (1973), 243-248.
- 5. H.W.E. Jung, Über Ganze Birationale Transformationen der Ebene, J. Reine Angew. Math. 184 (1942), 161-174.
  - 6. M. Nagata, On the Automorphism Group of k[x, y], Kinokianiya Book Store, Tokyo, 1972.
- 7. W. Van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) I (1953), 33-41.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742 USA